

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/791,887	887 03/04/2004		Frederic Milliot	Q80115	4815		
23373	7590	02/14/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE	•		NGUYEN, HUNG T				
SUITE 800	SYLVANI	A AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	TON, DC	20037	2636				

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/791,887	MILLIOT ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		HUNG T. NGUYEN	2636					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIDEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is completed by the proof of reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply with the office later than three months after the material part of the proof of t	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a fod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	P. December 2005						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)								
, <u> </u>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	•	,					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicati	on.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-10</u> is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are rejected.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
- /.	1.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bure							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) 🛭 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	450)				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/18/04</u> .	6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO- —-	-1 5 2)				

Application/Control Number: 10/791,887

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vaios (U.S. 6,271,752).

Regarding claim 5, Vaios discloses a remote monitoring apparatus (12) [figs.1-3. col.3, lines 24-35 and col.8, lines 59-62] comprising:

- a sensor (10) in the form of camera, heat, sound pressure and so on for monitoring an occurrence of an event [figs.1-3, col.24-35 and col.9, lines 27-34 & lines 49-54];
- a wireless module in the form of local computer system (12) communicates to the sensor (10) for monitoring surveillance area (4) which are programmed or stored in the memory device (109) through programming interface (131) [figs.1-2, col.3, lines 24-64];
- a user may recognize / see the signal containing data being indicative of the occurrence of the event to the local computer (12) on monitor display / workstation to provide a real indicative output signal to a user is INHERENTLY [fig.1, col.2, lines 10-25 and col.3, lines 24-36];

Application/Control Number: 10/791,887 Page 3

Art Unit: 2636

- the computer system (12) having a four layer system (102,104,106,108) are used to transmit signal to the remote location / receiver (16) as concept of transmission control protocols & protocol gateway [figs.1-2, col.3, line 14 to col.4, line 14, col.5, line 21 to col.6, line 16 & col.6, lines 54-67 and col.8, lines 59-62].

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-4 & 6-10 are allowed.

Arguments & Responses

4. Applicant's argument filed on 12/2/2005 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection includes new limitation as a user may recognize / see the signal containing data being indicative of the occurrence of the event to the local computer (12) on monitor display / workstation to provide a real indicative output signal to a user is INHERENTLY [fig.1, col.2, lines 10-25 and col.3, lines 24-36]

Application/Control Number: 10/791,887 Page 4

Art Unit: 2636

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Nesbitt (U.S. 6,150,927).
 - Marman et al. (U.S. 6,624,750).
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP j 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,887

Art Unit: 2636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 5

examiner should be directed to Hung T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2982. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:00 am to

6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hofsass, Jeffery can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number

for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 305-4700.

HUNG NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Hund

Hung T. Nguyen

Date:

Feb. 7, 2006